IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

KEVIN CHRISTOPHER SHIPMAN,)
Plaintiff,	
V.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:18-CV-468-WKW
DALE COUNTY JAIL, et al.,) [WO]
Defendants.)

RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff filed this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action on May 4, 2018. When he filed this complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Dale County Jail in Ozark, Alabama. On June 26, 2018, the envelopes containing Plaintiff's copies of orders filed June 20, 2018, were returned to the court marked as undeliverable because Plaintiff is no longer at the service address he provided when he filed the complaint.

An order was entered on June 26, 2018, requiring that by July 9, 2018, Plaintiff file with the court a current address and/or show cause why this case should not be dismissed for his failure to adequately prosecute this action. Doc. 15. This order specifically advised Plaintiff this case could not proceed if his whereabouts remained unknown and cautioned him that his failure to comply with its directives would result in the dismissal of this case. *Id.* Plaintiff's copy of the June 26 order was returned to the court July 3, 2018, marked as undeliverable.

The foregoing reflects Plaintiff's lack of interest in the continued prosecution of this case. This action cannot proceed properly in Plaintiff's absence. The court, therefore, concludes this case is due to be dismissed. *See Moon v. Newsome*, 863 F.2d 835, 837 (11th Cir. 1989) (As a

general rule, where a litigant has been forewarned, dismissal for failure to obey a court order is not

an abuse of discretion.).

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge this case be

DISMISSED without prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to comply with the orders of this court and

to prosecute this action.

It is further ORDERED that on or before July 31, 2018, Plaintiff may file an objection to

the Recommendation. Any objection filed must specifically identify the factual findings and legal

conclusions in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation to which Plaintiff party object. Frivolous,

conclusive or general objections will not be considered by the District Court.

Failure to file a written objection to the proposed findings and recommendations in the

Magistrate Judge's report shall bar a party from a *de novo* determination by the District Court of

factual findings and legal issues covered in the report and shall "waive the right to challenge on

appeal the district court's order based on unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions" except upon

grounds of plain error if necessary in the interests of justice. 11th Cir. R. 3-1; see Resolution Trust

Co. v. Hallmark Builders, Inc., 996 F.2d 1144, 1149 (11th Cir. 1993); Henley v. Johnson, 885 F.2d

790, 794 (11th Cir. 1989).

DONE this 11th day of July, 2018.

/s/Terry F. Moorer

TERRY F. MOORER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE